

MARICOPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MINUTE BOOK

**SPECIAL SESSION
February 22, 2005**

The Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, Arizona convened in Informal Session at 9:00 a.m., February 22, 2005 in the Board of Supervisors' Conference Room, 301 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present: Max W. Wilson, District 4, Chairman, Fulton Brock, District 1; Don Stapley, District 2, Andrew Kunasek, District 3; and Mary Rose Wilcox, District 5. Also present: Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board; Monica Farine, Minutes Coordinator; David Smith, County Administrator; and Paul Golab, Deputy County Attorney. Votes of the Members will be recorded as follows: (aye-no-absent-abstain).

Chairman Wilson called the meeting to order.

VISION FOR ARIZONA RESOLUTION -CONTINUED

Item: Approve a resolution endorsing **The Vision for Arizona Project**.

Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board, advised the Board this item would be continued to March 9, 2005.

SUPERVISORS' STAPLEY AND WILCOX UPDATE RE: NACO MEETINGS IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

Supervisors Stapley and Wilcox presented information to the Board of Supervisors regarding their time in Washington, D.C. with the Large Urban County Caucus (LUCC), part of the National Association of Counties Organization (NACo). Both went to the White House, received a briefing, and met with 8 members of Congress hoping to make a voice for Maricopa County with assistance from Congressman Ed Pastor, and Senators John McCain and John Kyl. Only 100 of 3,000 Counties in the nation are members of LUCC which meets annually.

Supervisor Stapley said the federal budget must gain consensus between the Senate and House. He said this Administration consolidated 14 programs under Medicaid to save 30 billion dollars over ten years, and because they are consolidating and shifting programs, a Bipartisan Commission is being formed for a voice on discretionary programs. With no cuts in the federal entitlements in Medicaid, Medicare, or the base funding, he indicated they are just targeting discretionary items and programming. NACo's position on a bill in Telecom reform is that bundling of technologies should be controlled locally and there will be strong lobbying in Washington on this issue. Supervisor Stapley said Transportation continued on existing funding, and it appears the administration will veto anything over 280 billion over the next five years. He said Senator McCain is on the Committee and has held out for a better deal for Arizona because Arizona's gas tax money is not recovered even close to the set minimum of 95 cents on the dollar.

Supervisor Wilcox brought information materials from Washington regarding the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Funding, Medicaid Reform, Lost Federal Entitlement Benefits and Telecommunications. She explained block grants are building blocks for a community and Maricopa County receives more than 20 million. She indicated the Board has always been able to leverage those dollars with private funding. She said President Bush proposed a "Strengthening American Communities Grant Program" of which little is known other than it combines 71 similar CDBG and social programs under the Department of Commerce. She said before final discussions regarding CDBG funding, more information needs to be provided to the committees, as many believe it will hurt the infrastructure for many social programs. Supervisor Wilcox said the State Criminal Aiding and Assistance Program (SCAAP) was to reimburse States and localities for their costs incarcerating and documenting criminal aliens. She said any amount received through SCAAP replenishes the general fund, but it is in the President's budget to be zeroed out and this would hurt Maricopa County with prisoners possibly released earlier. At NACo, she said there will be a major effort to continue SCAAP funding.

Discussion ensued between the Supervisors over presented information. Supervisor Kunasek felt SCAAP funding should be recouped due to the federal government's failure to secure the border. Supervisor Stapley said Maricopa County would present a bill for its jails much like that of Governor Napolitano for the Department of Corrections. Supervisor Brock commented on the rising costs of Medicaid and inquired about education issues because 22 percent are dropping out freshman to senior year. Supervisor Brock stressed the enormous need for vocational skills and schools. Supervisor Stapley said they took from HHS Vocational Education and gave it to Commerce where it will be competing with the Economic Development Program. He said they did this with CDBG. Supervisor Wilcox said a Budget proposal enfolds 71 programs in the Department of Commerce with no appropriation. Their intent is to transfer money, but there is no reflection of it in the budget. High School Intervention Initiative was a program never funded and the President wants it funded now but gave no details. A Bipartisan Bill discussed Immigration Reform and Guest Worker Programs. Chairman Wilson thanked both Supervisors for the update and said Supervisor Stapley has worked with NACo many years in the Large Urban Caucus with so much impact for Maricopa County and all counties.

RESOLUTION & LETTER OF COMMENTS TO THE MAG TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE

Item: Approve a resolution and accompanying letter to transmit written comments to the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) Transportation Policy Committee concerning proposed modifications to the MAG Regional Transportation Plan. (C6405230M00)

Clem Ligocki, Regional Partnership Manager, said Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) requested modification of the Regional Transportation Plan passed by voters in 2003 approving funding. He presented a folder with materials including: a letter of transmittal from MAG requesting comments by 2/23 deadline; the advancement policy; a copy of the slides presented and a map of larger version of what is in the slide. He outlined the procedures of the Transportation Policy Division of MAG as follows: (1) Circulate commentary forms; (2) The policy committee has 30 days to respond to commentary, (3) The Plan then goes to the MAG Regional Council for review; and (4) A transportation improvement program will be produced at MAG including air quality analysis. Currently, there are 15 advance-constructed projects that are being considered for advancement. Ten of the fifteen projects do not involve the County. The funding would not be taken from Phase One because construction would take place with local government funding. Reimbursement would come later. Local communities will build 14 out of 15 projects and some will be partnered. While there is a full transportation improvement program at MCDOT (Maricopa County Department of Transportation), it is important to partner with communities. The current proposal from MAG is that funds not be inflated when being reimbursed. Policies and procedures worked on at the MAG table will be done in May. Project agreements are required between local communities and MAG for any advancement projects.

MAG representatives and West Valley Cities have approached some of the Supervisors regarding funding, reimbursement at inflated rates, and transportation problems throughout Maricopa County. Supervisor Stapley said any advanced, accelerated funding program impacts the entire plan's total dollars. Supervisor Stapley felt that mayors would not allow another city to accelerate a program and then get interest on top to the detriment of later funded projects. Mr. Ligocki said the rules are varied, but it is possible they will receive inflated funds.

Frank Peake, Jr. Chairman, (TAB) Transportation Advisory Board said the February meeting relating to future reimbursements being inflated opened larger discussions as to funds available to complete all projects. If the economy continued on a steady increase, additional funds at the end of the regional plan time period would have municipalities asking to advance projects to save the region money. They'd be getting reimbursed at un-inflated dollars and the difference would actually go into the regional pot for redistribution back to the municipality for additional projects. This issue needs to be readdressed. If a

municipality wanted to fund a one-mile portion of a three-mile project and get it done early, the TAB would propose the municipality would need to fund a budget study to ensure the rest of funding would be available for future construction. The Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) meeting resulted in decisions regarding reprioritization of projects as population increases. The TPC and Regional Council approved the arterial program policies before modifications were considered. It is difficult for a municipality or the County to make solid business decisions until the reimbursement formula is finalized. As May approaches, set rules are necessary. The TPC will allow work and these advancements to begin for the purpose of meeting the federal deadline but they want to see full rules before anything is final.

Discussion among Supervisors began with Supervisor Brock expressing concern over an intersection of four jurisdictions (Chandler, County, State and Gila Indian Community) where there have been some terrible accidents. He also explained that Hamilton High is right on a State Highway where students turn out into traffic coming down the state highway ramp. He requested an effort to slow traffic down, and bring jurisdictions together to improve that intersection at Riggs. Mr. Peake offered to work on a change in the speed limit, modifications to the street, or a flashing speed limit sign during peak student travel. ADOT, (Arizona Department of Transportation) will be asked to participate in the effort to control that section. Supervisor Wilcox requested a timeframe to have rules in place, as certain policies must be addressed before anything can be judged. Supervisor Kunasek added that the total cost of all improvements is about 100 million with the County portion amounting to 6 million over five years. He wanted focus placed on safety and true transportation issues rather than the pursuit of other improvements of financial gain to an individual city. Mr. Peake said County staff has spent a number of hours studying safety issues, accident rates, age of the highway, and traffic flow. An extensive prioritization system in Maricopa County is used to create recommendations which go to the TPC. Supervisor Stapley said a new concept where individual property owners donate land for a right-of-way would help with improvements in the East Valley. Supervisor Stapley offered a map for consideration regarding several corridors in the East Valley.

Chairman Wilson directed staff to consider all of the discussion points of the Board and redraft the letter. He declared that the matter would be tabled until after the scheduled executive session.

APPOINTMENTS AND OATHS OF OFFICE MARK D. GOLDMAN AND JOHN BOYLE

Item: Approve the Official Appointments of Mark D. Goldman and John Boyle as Special Deputy County Attorneys.

Supervisor Kunasek asked about the specific work assignment of these attorneys. Since no information was immediately available, the Chairman declared this item tabled until after the executive session.

AUTHORIZE THE APPLICATION AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE GRANT FROM THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY PROPOSITION 202

Motion was made by Supervisor Stapley, seconded by Supervisor Wilcox, and unanimously carried (5-0) to authorize the Human Services Department to submit an application for, and accept, grant funding from the Gila River Indian Community Proposition 202 Grant funds to provide out-of-school youth (ages 16-21) the opportunity to obtain a GED while producing community art projects. The total amount of funding will not exceed \$141,000. The term of this agreement is from July 1, 2004, to June 30, 2005. This agreement does not contain any County general funds. All overhead/indirect costs are allowable and the FY 2006 authorized rate will be applied to the grant. A Grant Agenda Indirect Cost Calculation form, attached to the Notice of Intent, provides detail on indirect cost recovery. The total amount of funds requested will not exceed \$141,000. In preparing this request, the Department used the current approved FY 2005 rate of 17.6% rate for computation purposes. Once the FY 2006 rate has been approved, the Department will apply the new FY

2006 rate to all the computations. The Department's FY 2005 authorized indirect cost rate of 17.6% was applied and total estimated indirect costs are \$12,000. Also approve a Resolution (given below) in support of the grant application by Maricopa County Human Services Department. Funding for this application is anticipated to come from the Gila River Indian Community's State-Shared Revenue Program.

MARICOPA COUNTY

A RESOLUTION OF THE CHAIRMAN AND BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AUTHORIZING THE SUBMITTAL OF A GRANT REQUEST LETTER FOR FUNDING FOR LAS ARTES DE MARICOPA COUNTY YOUTH PROGRAM TO THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY, AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDS GRANTED AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF SAID APPLICATION.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CHAIRMAN AND BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Maricopa County Human Services Department is hereby authorized to submit a Grant Request letter(s) to the Gila River Indian Community.

Section 2. The Maricopa County Human Service Department is hereby authorized to accept grant funds from the Gila River Indian Community.

Section 3. The Chairman of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to execute said grant request letter(s)/applications(s) and necessary acceptance documentation.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 22nd day of February, 2005, by Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, Maricopa County, Arizona.

/s/ Max Wilson
Max Wilson, Chairman
Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

/s/ Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board
Fran McCarroll,
Clerk of the Board

/s/ Martin Demos, Deputy County Attorney
Martin Demos
Deputy County Attorney

EXECUTIVE SESSION CALLED

Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03, motion was made by Supervisor Stapley, seconded by Supervisor Wilcox, and unanimously carried (5-0) to recess and reconvene in Executive Session in the Sullivan Conference Room to consider items listed on the Executive Agenda dated February 22, 2005, as follows:

LEGAL ADVICE; PENDING OR CONTEMPLATED LITIGATION -- ARS §38-431.03(A)(3) AND (A)(4)

1. **Compromise Cases** – Barbara Caldwell, Outside Counsel
Bortin, Angela Navalazaro, Stephanie
Green, Cherica Paladin, Ernest

Heermann, Keri Smith, Daniel

2. **Write-Off Cases** – Barbara Caldwell, Outside Counsel
 Zimmerman, Conner Daniel
 Write off of Sheriff's Uncollectible Accounts

SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS CONDUCTED IN ORDER TO AVOID OR RESOLVE LITIGATION – ARS §38-431.03(A)(4)

3. **Jean Woodring v. Maricopa County**
 Mary Cronin, Deputy County Attorney

PENDING OR CONTEMPLATED LITIGATION; SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS CONDUCTED IN ORDER TO AVOID OR RESOLVE LITIGATION – ARS §38-431.03(A)(4)

4. **Sunrise Water Company and West End Water Company v. Maricopa County TX2004-000459. (This item was previously heard in Executive Session on November 15, 2004.)**
 David Schweikert, Maricopa County Treasurer
 David Browning, Manager of Tax Services, Treasurer's Office
 William Riske, Deputy County Attorney
 Kevin Costello, Deputy County Attorney

LEGAL ADVICE; PENDING OR CONTEMPLATED LITIGATION; SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS CONDUCTED IN ORDER TO AVOID OR RESOLVE LITIGATION – ARS §38-431.03(A)(3) and (A)(4)

5. **Settlement Agreement between former Medical Director, Correctional Health Services and Maricopa County.**
 David Smith, County Manager
 Gwynn Simpson, Director, Maricopa County Human Resources
 Lindy Funkhouser, Contract Administrator
 Elizabeth Yaquinto, Deputy County Attorney

6. **Settlement of Claims and Lawsuits by Paradise Valley Hospital**
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1998-008388
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1998-014148
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1998-020951
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1999-005990
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1999-014550
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1999-019571
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV2000-004548
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV2001-013936
 VHS V. Maricopa County, CV2002-002745
 VHS V. Maricopa County, LC2003-001173-001
 Bruce White, Deputy County Attorney
 Shawn Nau, Director, Health Care Mandates
 Jan Ringgenberg, Health Care Mandates

PENDING OR CONTEMPLATED LITIGATION; SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS CONDUCTED IN ORDER TO AVOID OR RESOLVE LITIGATION; INTERNATIONAL AND INTERSTATE NEGOTIATIONS – ARS §38-431.03(A)(4) AND (A)(6)

7. **Maricopa County/Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community (SRPMIC)**
 Kent Hamm, MCDOT Project Manager
 Rick Garnett, Deputy County Attorney

RETURN TO OPEN SESSION

Chairman Wilson reconvened the meeting in open session after discussion on the executive session matters. The Clerk announced in the lobby and the Board's Conference Room that the Board was reconvening in open session.

APPOINTMENTS AND OATHS OF OFFICE MARK D. GOLDMAN AND JOHN BOYLE

Jolene Hunt from the County Attorney's office appeared before the Board and explained that Mr. Goldman would be assisting with issues in the Check Enforcement Division as well as some internal staffing issues. Mr. Goldman will serve in a pro-bono status.

Mr. Boyle will have the authority to conduct proceedings in a specific case: *State of Arizona v. Augustin Vasquez, aka, Anisito Cruz Zuniga; aka, Fernando; Augustin Cuevas Cordova, aka, Filo; Juan Rubio Vasquez, and Eduardo Acevas Vasquez* concerning criminal incident occurring on or about June 30, 1994, involving victims Richard Fass, Waldemar Fenior and Joaquin Fenior. This authority shall extend to the aforementioned defendants and any other suspects charged as a result of the criminal investigation referred to above and documented in Glendale Police Report 94-056123 and/or CR94-06023.

Motion was made by Supervisor Stapley, seconded by Supervisor Wilcox, and unanimously carried (5-0) to authorize the official appointments and oaths of office for Mark D. Goldman and John Boyle as Special Deputy County Attorneys.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR JEAN WOODRING

Motion was made by Supervisor Wilcox, seconded by Supervisor Kunasek, and unanimously carried (5-0) to authorize the Settlement Agreement between Jean Woodring and Maricopa County as discussed in Executive Session on February 22, 2005. (C1905025000)

FRANCHISE – WEST END WATER COMPANY

Motion was made by Supervisor Wilcox, seconded by Supervisor Kunasek, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve application for continuation of an existing franchise, filed by West End Water Company for a public service franchise to construct, maintain and operate a domestic water and wastewater distribution/collection systems, consisting of pipe lines, meters, connections, and all necessary equipment within Maricopa County with stipulations included by County Attorney for payment of back taxes. Continued from the October 6, 2004, November 17, 2004, December 15, 2004, January 19, 2005 and February 16, 2005 meetings. (F17643)

APPEAL OF CONSOLIDATED CASES

Motion was made by Supervisor Wilcox, seconded by Supervisor Kunasek, and unanimously carried (5-0) to authorize appeal of consolidated cases from Superior Court Rigby Water Company v. Maricopa County TX2003-000397 and Sunrise Water Company and West End Water Company v. Maricopa County TX2004-000459 as discussed in Executive Session on November 15, 2004 and February 22, 2005. (C1905026M00)

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH FORMER MEDICAL DIRECTOR, CORRECTIONAL HEALTH SERVICES

Motion was made by Supervisor Wilcox, seconded by Supervisor Kunasek, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve the Settlement Agreement between the former Medical Director, Correctional Health Services, and Maricopa County as discussed in Executive Session on February 22, 2005.

SETTLEMENT WITH SALT RIVER PIMA-MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY

Motion was made by Supervisor Wilcox, seconded by Supervisor Kunasek, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve the payment of \$14,838.00 to Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community for a settlement of a trespass/construction easement, for the McDowell Road L101 to Alma School Road project, W.O. No. 68897 (T061). (C6405214500)

SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS AND LAWSUITS BY PARADISE VALLEY HOSPITAL – CONTINUED

Item: Approve the Settlement Agreement with Paradise Valley Hospital as discussed in Executive Session on February 22, 2005. (C3905031100) (ADM409)

VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1998-008388
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1998-014148
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1998-020951
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1999-005990
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1999-014550
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV1999-019574-271
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV2000-004548
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV2001-013936
VHS V. Maricopa County, CV2002-002745
VHS V. Maricopa County, LC2003-004473-004-00173-001-DT

Motion was made by Supervisor Wilcox, seconded by Supervisor Kunasek, and unanimously carried (5-0) to continue this item to the March 9, 2005 meeting.

[Clerk's Note: See agenda item C3905031101 on the March 9, 2005 meeting for further action.]

LETTER OF COMMENTS TO THE MAG TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE

After reviewing the revised letter of comments, motion was made by Supervisor Stapley, seconded by Supervisor Wilcox, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve the letter to transmit written comments to the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) Transportation Policy Committee concerning proposed modifications to the MAG Regional Transportation Plan (below). (C6405230M00)

February 22, 2005

The Honorable Elaine M. Scruggs, Chair
Transportation Policy Committee
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Dear Mayor Scruggs:

We have received the January 21 letter from Mr. Eric Anderson communicating the proposed modifications to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). The Maricopa County Department of Transportation, Transportation Advisory Board and Board of Supervisors have reviewed the proposed modifications. The Board of Supervisors is pleased to provide comments in accordance with the requirements pursuant to state law. Our comments are as follows:

- The January 21 correspondence indicates “phase advancements would be accomplished through funding provided by the jurisdictions proposing the project accelerations” and “these jurisdictions would be reimbursed for their funding in the phase in which the project was originally scheduled in the RTP.” The correspondence further indicates “the specific amount and timing of reimbursement will be consistent with the policies *to be established* for the corresponding program element by the Transportation Policy Committee, with the approval by the MAG Regional Council.” (Emphasis added.) We understand draft policies and procedures for the arterial life cycle program (ALCP) have been under development at MAG. The Board is also aware that the MAG Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) has tentatively approved a draft “interim policy” for the proposed advancement of arterial projects, and the TPC is expecting to have the full policies and procedures presented for discussion in March and action by May. While we appreciate MAG’s effort in proposing the interim policy, all the ALCP policies and procedures should be approved before any RTP modifications are considered. Otherwise, the full implications of such project advancements, reimbursement considerations, and impacts on the overall ALCP and RTP cannot be fully understood and accounted for.
- Neither the January 21 letter nor the interim policy includes any discussion of inflation in the reimbursement amount. Therefore, it appears the reimbursement amount would be the same as the lesser of the RTP budgeted amount (in 2002 dollars) or 70% of the actual project cost at the time of construction. There should be consideration given to allowing the reimbursement amount to include a reasonable amount of inflation to reflect the date a project-related expenditure is actually made and the future reimbursement year.
- If a project segment proposed for advancement is a part of a larger project listed in the RTP, will there be some prorated portion of the RTP budgeted regional share attached to the advanced segment? Some mechanism is needed to ensure enough regional funds remain for the overall project (and other RTP projects) after the advanced segment is completed and jurisdictions are reimbursed.
- Previous ALCP draft policy and procedure language has discussed limiting reimbursements to work done only *after* a certain date. (Some possible cut-off dates in the drafts have included November 1, 2002 for design, environmental and planning work and November 25, 2003 for ROW and construction, for example.) Establishing such cut-off dates would help prevent “replacement” of local funds for projects that would have been done locally whether or not Proposition 400 had passed. In other words, the new transportation excise tax funding should go only to truly “new” regional arterial projects, as voters would naturally expect and deserve. If projects are “advanced,” the advanced projects should be undertaken with the known risk that the ALCP policies and procedures may prevent “replacement” of local funds, and so the advanced project may or may not ultimately be deemed eligible for future reimbursement if work was done before a “cut-off” date. This matter does not appear to be addressed in MAG correspondence or the interim policy.
- There has been some informal discussion that the project phasing in the RTP could be revisited in the future and perhaps redone based on additional discussions, needs and analysis. Once the currently proposed advancements are done, and the new, conforming MAG Transportation

Improvement Program (TIP) is produced, will there be an effort to refine the phasing? If so, then perhaps some of the "advanced" projects might just be moved to the earlier phase and have the "advancement" tag removed, allowing the funds to flow earlier. We believe a serious reprioritization effort should be undertaken to provide project implementation phases that are the most practicable.

- Attachment A to the January 21 letter includes a list of projects proposed for advancement. One segment of the Power Road project (from Baseline to Guadalupe) may have been inadvertently omitted from the list. We recommend the proposed plan modification be further modified by adding the Power Road project, from Baseline Road to Guadalupe Road, in recognition that the project is expected to be constructed during the Phase I time frame. (This project is multi-jurisdictional, involving Gilbert, Mesa and Maricopa County.)
- When reviewing the advancement of the proposed project, the Board requests the following considerations be taken into account:
 - All arterial life cycle program policies and procedures must be approved by the MAG TPC and Regional Council before any modifications are considered;
 - Maricopa County makes no commitment to assist financially or participate in any advanced project not otherwise requested by the Board; and
 - MAG should make every effort to apply policies and procedures and make any project-related decisions equitably throughout the county.

Again, we thank MAG for circulating the proposed RTP modifications for review and comment. We hope you find the comments helpful. We may reconsider our position pending the completion of the full body of policies and procedures to govern the ALCP and the approvals of these policies and procedures by the MAG TPC and Regional Council.

Sincerely,
/s/ Max W. Wilson
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

cc. Mr. Eric Anderson, MAG
Mr. Michael Ellegood, Maricopa County Engineer
Mr. Frank Peake, Jr. Maricopa County TAB Chairman

MEETING ADJOURNED

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned.

Max W. Wilson, Chairman of the Board

ATTEST:

Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board